

INSTRUCTIONS FOR REVIEWERS

Preserving the Published Heritage of Washington State Agriculture, Forestry and Fisheries

Enclosed are three bibliographies each covering one subject area: agriculture, forestry, and fisheries. Each bibliography has been subdivided into at least five separate sections: serials, books, pamphlets (titles less than 25 pages), theses, and microfilm. The theses and microfilm lists are for information purposes only and do not need to be ranked.

Since it will not be possible to preserve all published works on Washington State agriculture, forestry and fisheries in the course of this grant project, we are asking for your judgment on which titles should receive priority for preservation. In general, other libraries in other states have requested money from NEH to film 25-50% of the top-ranked serials and books in the following grant cycle.

Consigning a title to second or third priority does not mean that it will never be preserved. We hope that those titles not preserved in the course of this project will be preserved in future projects. NEH will not fund the filming of pamphlet material as part of this project but we are hoping to secure funds from another source to preserve some of this short but important material.

Using the ranking scheme below, please rank each title in terms of its relative importance to historical research compared with other titles on the same list. Please also consider its relative importance to the history of agriculture, forestry, and fisheries in Washington State and the Pacific Northwest. We will attempt to preserve the top ranked titles on each of the subject lists.

RANKING

- 1. Very important historical title; first priority for preservation**
- 2. Definitely worth preserving; should be preserved funds permitting**
- 3. Worth preserving at some time if possible, but of lower priority**
- 4. Not worth preserving**

If you do not find this ranking scheme workable, you may devise your own method for indicating priority for preservation. If you choose to diverge from this scheme, please briefly explain your approach to assist us in compiling the results.

When you are unfamiliar with a title, please give your best judgment as to the relative importance of the title based on the subject, author, title, publisher, period, genre, etc.

**PLEASE BRING TO OUR ATTENTION
ANY TITLES WITHIN THE SCOPE OF THE PROJECT
THAT DO NOT APPEAR ON THE BIBLIOGRAPHIES.**

You may wonder why certain publications are excluded. Please see the scope notes for categories of publications we have excluded per the NEH guidelines. For example, federal publications and articles are excluded from the bibliographies based upon the grant requirements.

DUE DATE: MARCH 31, 2005